

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,490	07/29/2003	Richard P. Tella	10010565-2	9682
7590 01/18/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1743		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/630,490	TELLA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for	• •		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2006				
		action is non-final.				
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 26-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 26-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 C	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) <u> </u>	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)∏ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> A∈	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		. 1			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	пент Аррисаціон			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,490

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite what type of apparatus is intended by the claimed "touch system". For the purposes of examination, it will be interpreted as a system where as user provides input using their fingers, such as a keyboard.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cathcart et al. (USP 5,443,791).

Cathcart et al. teaches a method and apparatus for sample analysis comprising a mount for receiving s substrate, a dispensing device and an optical system. Column 6 lines 54+ teach gauge block(24) as a calibration system. Column 12 lines 46058 teach the optical systems control the positioning.

The specific reagents claimed in the apparatus claims have been considered.

However, it is well settled the method of intended use of an apparatus (e.g. the specific

Art Unit: 1743

analysis the requires the specific reagents) is of no patentable moment with respect to the pending apparatus claims.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 10/31/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not state whether the election is with or without traverse, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicants' correctly noted the typographical error that claim 9 was not included in the restriction requirement. Claim 9 is dependent upon claim 8 which is part of group I. Claim 9 will be placed in group I. The Office regrets any inconvenience this may have caused Applicant's.

A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
